

CLASS VI WELL PERMIT APPLICATION

OFFICE OF CONSERVATION
INJECTION & MINING DIVISION
617 N. Third St., 9th FLOOR
BATON ROUGE, LA 70802

Injection-Mining@la.gov
(225) 342-5515

UIC-60 CCS

TYPE ONLY

APPLICATION NO.
(FOR OFFICE USE ONLY)

[illegible]

22. BASE OF USDW (FT): REFERENCE E-LOG (SERIAL NUMBER):	23. ELEVATION OF DATUM (FT): <input type="checkbox"/> KB <input type="checkbox"/> GL <input type="checkbox"/> MSL	
24. PLUGGED BACK DEPTH (FT):	25. TOTAL DEPTH (FT):	
26. TUBING SIZE: DEPTH:	27. PACKER SIZE: DEPTH:	
PROPOSED INJECTION INTERVAL INFORMATION		
28. WELL COMPLETION <input type="checkbox"/> OPEN HOLE <input type="checkbox"/> PERFORATIONS <input type="checkbox"/> SCREEN		
29. PROPOSED INJECTION FORMATION NAME(S):		
30. PROPOSED INJECTION ZONES:		
31. PROPOSED PERFORATION INTERVALS:		
PROPOSED INJECTION STREAM INFORMATION		
32. PROJECTED TOTAL MASS (MM-T):	33. PROJECTED INJECTION PERIOD (YR):	
34. INJECTION STREAM COMPOSITION (RELATIVE PERCENTAGE):		
35. SITE PERMITS		
PERMITTING AUTHORITY	PERMIT/APPLICATION NUMBER	CURRENT STATUS
36. LIST RELEVANT OFFICE OF CONSERVATION ORDERS:		
37. IS THE WELL LOCATED WITHIN THE LOUISIANA COASTAL ZONE?		<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO

OTHER INFORMATION	
38. IS THE WELL OR PROPOSED AOR BOUNDARY LOCATED ON INDIAN LANDS OR OTHER LANDS OWNED BY OR UNDER THE JURISDICTION OR PROTECTION OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT?	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
39. IS THE WELL OR PROPOSED PLUME LOCATED ON STATE WATER BOTTOMS OR OTHER LANDS OWNED BY OR UNDER THE JURISDICTION OR PROTECTION OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA?	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
40. IS THE WELL OR PROPOSED AOR BOUNDARY LOCATED ON LANDS OWNED BY OR UNDER THE JURISDICTION OR PROTECTION OF THE STATE OF ARKANSAS, THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, OR THE STATE OF TEXAS?	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
41. AGENT OR CONTACT AUTHORIZED TO ACT ON BEHALF OF THE APPLICANT DURING THE PROCESSING OF THIS APPLICATION NAME: COMPANY: MAILING ADDRESS: PHONE: EMAIL:	
42. CERTIFICATION BY WELL OWNER/OPERATOR (LAC 43:XVII.3605.E) I certify that as the owner/operator of the injection well, the person identified in Item No. 46 above is authorized to act on my behalf during the processing of this application, to submit additional information as requested, and to give oral statements in support of this application. I will grant an authorized agent of the Office of Conservation entry onto the property to inspect the injection well and related appurtenances as per LSA-R.S. 30:4. I agree to operate the well in accordance with Office of Conservation guidelines. I further certify under penalty of law that I have examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine or imprisonment or both (LSA-R.S. 30:17).	
PRINT NAME OF WELL OWNER/OPERATOR	TITLE OF COMPANY OFFICIAL
DIGITAL SIGNATURE OF WELL OWNER/OPERATOR	

SUBMIT THE FOLLOWING AS A COMPLETE APPLICATION PACKAGE FOR A CLASS VI WELL:

- All application materials must be prepared in accordance with LAC 43:XVII.Chapter 36 and should be submitted electronically via the Geologic Sequestration Data Tool (GSDT). Hard copy materials should not be submitted unless otherwise directed by the Office of Conservation.
- One Form UIC-60 CCS
 1. The field designation will be based upon which Office of Conservation district the well is to be located in (click [here](#) to see the district outlines):
 - a. WILDCAT-SO LA LAFAYETTE DIST (9727)
 - b. WILDACT-NO LA SHREVEPORT DIST (9715)
 - c. WILDCAT-NO LA MONROE DIST (9709)
 2. The signature of the well owner/operator must be a verifiable digital signature.
- One Form MD-10-R-A for each existing well to be converted (if conversion is proposed)
- One original Certified Location Plat that complies with the requirements of the [IMD-GS-10 Policy](#);
- Certification of geoscientific and engineering submittals
 1. Per LAC 43:XVII.3603.H.2, all applications, reports, plans, requests, maps, cross-sections, drawings, opinions, recommendations, calculations, evaluations, or other submittals including or comprising geoscientific work as defined by La. R.S. 37:711.1 et seq. must be prepared, sealed, signed, and dated by a licensed Professional Geoscientist (P.G.) authorized to practice by and in good standing with the Louisiana Board of Professional Geoscientists.
 2. Per LAC 43:XVII.3603.H.3, all applications, reports, plans, requests, specifications, details, calculations, drawings, opinions, recommendations, evaluations or other submittals including or comprising the practice of engineering as defined by La. R.S. 37:681 et seq. must be prepared, sealed, signed, and dated by a licensed Professional Engineer (P.E.) authorized to practice by and in good standing with the Louisiana Professional Engineering and Land Surveying Board.
 3. For electronic submission, the seal, signature, and date of signature must be transmitted in a secure mode that reasonably precludes the seal, signature, and date being reproduced or modified. Examples of programs that provide a secure mode include DocuSign and Adobe Acrobat Pro. The seal must follow the same design as prescribed by the relevant professional board.
 4. A signature page with the relevant seals, signatures, dates, and other information should be included immediately after the application cover page. A table identifying which attachments and portions of the application each professional is responsible for should be included.
- Answers to following questions regarding the proposed permit activity as part of the environmental analysis required by La R.S. 30:1104. See attached guidance for additional information.
 1. Have the potential and real adverse environmental effects of the proposed permit activity been avoided to the maximum extent possible?
 2. Does a cost-benefit analysis of the environmental impact costs versus the social and economic benefits of the proposed activities demonstrate that the latter outweighs the former?
 3. Are there alternative activities which would offer more protection to the environment than the proposed activity without unduly curtailing nonenvironmental benefits?
 4. Are there alternative sites which would offer more protection to the environment than the proposed site without unduly curtailing nonenvironmental benefits?
 5. Are there mitigating measures which would offer more protection to the environment than the proposed activity without unduly curtailing nonenvironmental benefits?

The Injection & Mining Division can be reached by telephone at 225-342-5515 or email Injection-Mining@la.gov.